

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of:

Bernd KURZMANN et al.

Serial No. 10/528,193

Confirmation No. 7056

Filed: October 14, 2005



Group Art Unit: 2661

Examiner: Tran, Pablo N.

For: METHOD FOR CARRYING OUT A TRANSFER PROCEDURE IN A RADIO COMMUNICATION SYSTEM FOR A PACKET-SWITCHING CONNECTION AND RADIO COMMUNICATION SYSTEM SUITABLE FOR THE SAME

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Commissioner for Patents
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

On page 2 of the Notice of Allowability attached to the Notice of Allowance, the Examiner sets forth his reasons for allowing the application. However, there are discrepancies between the reasons for allowance and the limitations of the independent claims. For example, the Examiner refers to a first residence zone, whereas claim 12 refers to a first routing area. The Examiner also mentions a residence zone change identification, whereas the claims refer to a routing area update identifier on. It is possible that these different terms could have a different scope.

The Reasons for Allowance appear to be an attempt to briefly summarize the limitations of each of the independent claims. Clearly, this is a difficult task. It is believed that the discrepancies between the reasons for allowance and the limitations of the independent claims are reflection of the difficulty of the task, not indication of a misunderstanding on the part of the Examiner. It is believed that the Examiner understands the limitations of the independent claims and has allowed the application for the appropriate reasons. Clearly, the scope of the claims is defined by the language thereof, but not by any in the Reasons for Allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Date: July 5 2007

By: Mark J. Henry
Mark J. Henry
Registration No. 36,162

1201 New York Ave, N.W., 7th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: (202) 434-1501